Abstract—Speech enhancement using augmented Kalman filter (AKF) suffers from the poor estimates of the key parameters, linear prediction coefficients (LPCs) of speech and noise signal in noisy conditions. The existing AKF particularly enhances speech in colored noise conditions. In this paper, a deep residual network (ResNet)-based method utilizes the LPC estimates of the AKF for speech enhancement. Specifically, we introduce ResNet20 (constructed with 20 layers) for estimating the noise waveform from the noise corrupted speech on a framewise basis. The noise LPCs are then computed from the estimated noise. Each noise corrupted speech frame is pre-whitened by a whitening filter, which is constructed with the corresponding noise LPCs. The speech LPCs are computed from the pre-whitened speech. The improved speech and noise LPCs enable the AKF to minimize the residual noise as well as distortion in the enhanced speech. Objective and subjective testing on NOIZEUS corpus reveal that the proposed method exhibits higher quality and intelligibility in the enhanced speech than the benchmark methods in various noise conditions for a wide range of SNR levels.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The main objective of a speech enhancement algorithm (SEA) is to eliminate the embedded noise from the noise corrupted speech. The SEAs can be used as a pre-processing tool for many signal processing systems, including but not limited to voice communication systems, hearing-aid devices, voice operated autonomous systems. Various SEAs, such as spectral subtraction (SS) [1], [2], minimum mean square error (MMSE) [3], [4], Wiener Filter (WF) [5], [6], Kalman filter (KF) [7] have been introduced over the decades. However, it is still a challenging task to develop an efficient SEA for real-world noise environments.

The SS-based SEAs somehow depend on the accuracy of noise power spectral density (PSD) estimates [8]. It is observed that the under estimated noise PSD introduced musical noise in the enhanced speech. Also, the over estimated noise PSD produced distorted speech [9, Chapter 5]. The MMSE and WF-based SEAs suffer from the a priori SNR estimates in practice. In [3], Ephraim and Malah proposed a decision-directed (DD) approach to compute the a priori SNR in noisy conditions. However, this approach recursively updates the a priori SNR for the current frame by using the speech and noise power spectrum estimated from the previous noisy speech frame. Thus, it leads to an inaccurate estimate of the a priori SNR for the current frame. The biased estimate of the a priori SNR in the MMSE-based SEA typically introduce musical noise and spectral distortion in the enhanced speech [9].

The efficiency of KF-based SEA depends on how accurately the key parameters, such as LPCs are estimated in noisy conditions. Paliwal and Basu for the first time introduced KF-based SEA [7]. They compute the LPCs from the clean speech signal, which is unavailable in practice. It is also limited to enhance the stationary noise corrupted speech. In [10], Gibson et al. introduced an augmented KF (AKF) for enhancing colored noise corrupted speech. In this method, the LPC estimates for the current noisy speech frame are computed from the filtered signal of the previous iteration by AKF. Although the enhanced speech (after 2-3 iterations) shows SNR improvement, however, suffering from spectral distortion as well as musical noise. In [11], Roy et al. proposed a sub-band iterative KF-based SEA. Since it only processes the high-frequency sub-bands (SBs) among the 16 decomposed SBs of noisy speech, some noise components may still remain in the low-frequency SBs. The enhanced speech also suffers from distortion. In [12], George et al. introduced a robustness metric-based tuning of the AKF for enhancing colored noise corrupted speech. The authors showed that the poor estimates of the speech and noise LPCs introduce bias in the AKF gain leading to degrade the speech enhancement performance. They introduced a robustness metric-based tuning of the bias in the AKG gain, which is particularly applicable in colored noise conditions. However, the tuning process of the AKF gain causes distortion in the enhanced speech.

The deep neural network (DNN) has been used widely for speech enhancement over the decades. It shows a noticeable improvement over the traditional SEAs, such as SS, MMSE, WF, and KF [1], [3], [5], [7]. Motivated by the time-frequency (T-F) masking technique in computational auditory scene analysis [13], the early DNN-based SEAs focus on the mask estimation, which is used to reconstruct the clean speech spectrum. In [14], Wand and Wang introduced a multi-layer perceptron (MLP)-based ideal binary mask (IBM) estimation method. An estimate of the clean speech spectrum is given by multiplying the estimated IBM with the noise corrupted speech spectrum [15]. In [16], it was shown that the ideal ratio mask (IRM) exhibits better speech enhancement accuracy over the IBM. Usually, the masking-based SEAs [14], [15], [16] keep the phase spectrum unprocessed in the sense that it is less affected by additive noise. However, in [17], Paliwal
et al. showed that the improvement of the phase spectrum also improves the perceptual quality of the enhanced speech. In this circumstance, Williamson et al. introduced a complex ideal ratio mask (cIRM)-based SEA for further improving the speech enhancement accuracy [18]. The cIRM is capable to recover both the amplitude and phase spectrum of the clean speech. In general, it was observed that the masking-based SEAs introduce residual noise in the enhanced speech [16]. Also, in speech enhancement context, the traditional MLP and DNN-based methods [14], [16], are not able to learn the long-term dependencies inherent in noisy speech.

In [19], Park and Lee introduced a convolutional encoder decoder (CED)-based SEA. This SEA is designed particularly for enhancing the babble noise corrupted speech. In [20], Tan and Wang introduced a convolutional recurrent network (CRN) by incorporating two long short-term memory (LSTM) layers between the encoder and decoder layers for speech enhancement. They argued that the new design can be adopted with the long-term dependency of the noise corrupted speech. The CRN [20] has been formed with 2D Convolutional (Conv2D) layers. As indicated in [19], the speech signal is 1D, therefore, the 1D convolution (Conv1D) layer is appropriate to process the noise corrupted speech. Thus, the CED method [19] reduces the training parameters as well as the training time significantly than that of CRM method [20]. In [21], an SEA based on processing the raw-waveform of noise corrupted speech using fully-convolutional network (FCNN) has been introduced. The enhanced speech quality does not depend on the phase spectrum, which has a significant impact on other acoustic-domain SEAs [15], [16], [19]. In [22], Zheng et al. introduced a phase-aware SEA using DNN. Here, the phase information (converted to the instantaneous frequency deviation (IFD)) is jointly used with different masks, namely the ideal amplitude mask (IAM) as a training target. The clean speech spectrum is reconstructed with the estimated mask and the phase information (extracted from the IFD).

Yu et al. introduced a DNN-based KF for speech enhancement. The authors basically employed the traditional DNN method for estimating the LPCs from noise corrupted speech [23]. However, 670 speech recordings included four noise recordings and four SNR levels resulting only 10720 samples for training the DNN. Technically, the limited training samples reduce the performance of this SEA for a wide range of noise conditions as well as the SNR levels. In addition, the noise co-variance is estimated from the initial frames of noise corrupted speech (considered as silent activity), which is irrespective with the non-stationary noise conditions. Technically, the AKF is constructed with the dynamic models of speech and noise signal. Thus, the AKF is more appropriate than KF to enhance speech in real-world noise conditions.

The direct estimation of speech from noise corrupted speech using the benchmark deep learning methods may suffer from residual noise and distortion. Our investigation reveals that the estimate of noise using deep learning technique would be more beneficial, as it is a crucial parameter for most of the SEAs in literature. For example, the AKF-based SEA suffering from the noise LPC estimates in practice. This paper introduces a ResNet20-based method to utilize the noise LPC estimates of the AKF. Specifically, an estimate of the noise waveform is given by the ResNet20-based method, from where the noise LPCs are computed on a framewise basis. A whitening filter is also constructed with the noise LPCs to pre-whiten the noise corrupted speech on a framewise basis. The speech LPCs are computed from the pre-whitened speech. The improvement of the speech and noise LPCs estimates leading to the capability of enhancing speech using AKF in various noise conditions. It also enables the AKF to minimize the residual noise as well as distortion in the enhanced speech. The efficiency of the proposed SEA is compared against the benchmark methods using objective and subjective testing on NOIZEUS corpus.

II. AKF FOR COLORED NOISE SUPPRESSION

Assuming the colored noise \( v(n) \) to be additive with speech \( s(n) \) and uncorrelated each other, at sample \( n \), the noisy speech \( y(n) \) is given by:

\[
y(n) = s(n) + v(n).
\]

The \( s(n) \) and \( v(n) \) of (1) can be modeled with \( p^{th} \) and \( q^{th} \) order linear predictors as [24]:

\[
s(n) = -
\sum_{i=1}^{p} a_i s(n-i) + w(n)
\]

\[
v(n) = -
\sum_{j=1}^{q} b_j v(n-j) + u(n)
\]

where \( \{a_i; i = 1, 2, \ldots, p\} \) and \( \{b_j; j = 1, 2, \ldots, q\} \) are the LPCs, \( w(n) \) and \( u(n) \) are assumed to be white noise with zero mean and variance \( \sigma^2_w \) and \( \sigma^2_u \), respectively.

Equations (1)-(3) can be used to form the following augmented state-space model (ASSM) of AKF as [12]:

\[
x(n) = \Phi_s x(n-1) + d z(n),
\]

\[
y(n) = c^T x(n).
\]

In the above ASSM,

1) \( x(n) = [s(n) \ldots s(n-p+1) v(n) \ldots v(n-q+1)]^T \) is a \((p+q) \times 1\) state-vector,

2) \( \Phi = \begin{bmatrix} \Phi_s & 0 \\ 0 & \Phi_v \end{bmatrix} \) is a \((p+q) \times (p+q)\) state-transition matrix with:

\[
\Phi_s = 
\begin{bmatrix}
-a_1 & -a_2 & \ldots & -a_{p-1} & a_p \\
1 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \ldots & 1 & 0
\end{bmatrix},
\]

\[
\Phi_v = 
\begin{bmatrix}
-b_1 & -b_2 & \ldots & -b_{q-1} & b_q \\
1 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \ldots & 1 & 0
\end{bmatrix}.
\]
where sample, Q\(y\) (e.g., 20 ms) frames. For a particular frame, the AKF computes \{practice, the poor estimates of \(\hat{\Psi}\) and \(\hat{\Phi}\)\}, while \(\{\hat{s}, \hat{v}\}\) vector. As in [12], \(s_n, l\) = \([1 \ 0 \ \ldots \ 0]_T\) and \(c_v = [1 \ 0 \ \ldots \ 0]_T\) are \(p \times 1\) and \(q \times 1\) vectors, respectively. Let \(y\) be the noisy measurement at sample \(n\).

Firstly, \(y(n)\) is windowed into non-overlapped and short (e.g., 20 ms) frames. For a particular frame, the AKF computes \(\hat{\phi}(n)\) at sample \(n\), given \(y(n)\) by using the following recursive equations [12]:

\[
\hat{x}(n|n-1) = \Phi \hat{x}(n-1|n-1),
\]

(6)

\[
\Psi(n|n-1) = \Phi \Psi(n-1|n-1) \Phi^T + dQd^T,
\]

(7)

\[
K(n) = \Psi(n|n-1) c \Phi^T \Psi(n-1|n-1) c^T \Psi(n-1|n-1)^{-1},
\]

(8)

\[
\hat{x}(n|n) = \hat{x}(n|n-1) + K(n) [y(n) - c \Phi \hat{x}(n|n-1)],
\]

(9)

\[
\Psi(n|n) = [I - K(n) c \Phi^T] \Psi(n|n-1),
\]

(10)

where \(Q = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_w^2 & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_v^2 \end{bmatrix}\) is the process noise covariance.

For a noisy speech frame, the error covariances \(\Psi(n|n-1)\) and \(\Psi(n|n)\) corresponding to \(\hat{x}(n|n-1)\) and \(\hat{x}(n|n)\), and the Kalman gain \(K(n)\) are continually updated on a samplewise basis, while \(\{\hat{a}_1, \sigma_w^2\}\) and \(\{\hat{b}_k, \sigma_v^2\}\) remain constant. At sample \(n\), \(g^T \hat{x}(n|n)\) gives the estimated speech, \(\hat{s}(n)\), where \(g = [1 \ 0 \ \ldots \ 0]^T\) is a \((p + q) \times 1\) vector. As in [12], \(\hat{s}(n)\) is given by:

\[
\hat{s}(n|n) = [1 - K_0(n)] \hat{s}(n|n-1) + K_0(n) [y(n) - \hat{v}(n|n-1)],
\]

(11)

where \(K_0(n)\) is the 1st component of \(K(n)\), given by [12]:

\[
K_0(n) = \frac{\alpha^2(n) + \sigma_w^2}{\alpha^2(n) + \sigma_w^2 + \beta^2(n) + \sigma_v^2},
\]

(12)

where \(\alpha^2(n)\) and \(\beta^2(n)\) are the transmission of \textit{a posteriori} error variances (of the speech and measurement noise samples) by the augmented dynamic model from the previous time sample, \(n-1\) [12].

Equation (11) reveals that the \(K_0(n)\) has a significant impact on the \(\hat{s}(n)\) estimates (the output of the AKF). In practice, the poor estimates of \(\{\hat{a}_1, \sigma_w^2\}\) and \(\{\hat{b}_k, \sigma_v^2\}\) introduce bias in \(K_0(n)\), which affects the estimates of \(\hat{s}(n)\). In the proposed SEA, a ResNet20 is used to utilize the LPC estimates for the AKF, leading to an improved \(\hat{s}(n)\) estimate.

III. PROPOSED SPEECH ENHANCEMENT SYSTEM

Fig. 1 shows the block diagram of the proposed SEA. Firstly, a 32 ms rectangular window with 50% overlap was considered for converting \(y(n)\) (1) into frames, \(y(n, l)\), i.e., \(y(n, l) = s(n, l) + v(n, l)\), where \(l \in \{0, 1, 2, \ldots, N-1\}\) is the frame index with \(N\) being the total number of frames in an utterance, and \(M\) is the total number of samples within each frame, i.e., \(n \in \{0, 1, 2, \ldots, M-1\}\).

A. Proposed \(\{\hat{b}_k, \sigma_v^2\}\) and \(\{\hat{a}_1, \sigma_w^2\}\) Estimation Method

The speech LPC parameters, \(\{\hat{a}_1, \sigma_w^2\}\) are very sensitive to noise. Since the clean speech, \(\hat{s}(n, l)\) is unavailable in practice, it is difficult to estimate these parameters accurately. Therefore, we focus on additive noise waveform, \(\hat{v}(n, l)\) estimates, from where the noise LPC parameters, \(\{\hat{b}_k, \sigma_v^2\}\) are computed on a framewise basis. However, the estimation of \(\hat{v}(n, l)\) is also a challenging task. In the existing AKF-based SEA, an estimate of the noise waveform, \(\hat{v}(n, l)\) is obtained from the initial noise corrupted speech frames by considering that there remains no speech [12]. Then compute \(\{\hat{b}_k, \sigma_v^2\}\) from the \(\hat{v}(n, l)\), which remains constant during processing all the noisy speech frames for a given noise corrupted speech utterance. This concept may be effective for enhancing the colored noise corrupted speech. Since, the vast majority of real-world noises contain time varying amplitudes, it requires to update \(\{\hat{b}_k, \sigma_v^2\}\) for each noise corrupted speech frame when operating such conditions. Therefore, the \(\{\hat{b}_k, \sigma_v^2\}\) estimation process in [12] becomes irrespective with the noise conditions having time varying amplitudes.

We introduce a ResNet20-based method (described in section III-B) to estimate the waveform of noise, \(\hat{v}(n, l)\) on a framewise basis. The \(\{\hat{b}_k, \sigma_v^2\}\) \((q = 20)\) are computed from \(\hat{v}(n, l)\) using autocorrelation method [24]. Then \(\{\hat{b}_k\}'\)s are used to design the whitening filter, \(H_w(z)\) as [24]:

\[
H_w(z) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{q} b_k z^{-k}.
\]

(13)

Employing \(H_w(z)\) to \(y(n, l)\), yielding the pre-whitened speech, \(y_w(n, l)\). Then \(\{\hat{a}_1, \sigma_w^2\}\) \((p = 10)\) are computed from \(y_w(n, l)\) using autocorrelation method [24].
B. ResNet20 for Noise Waveform Estimation

Fig. 2 shows the architecture of the proposed ResNet20 for noise waveform estimation. Motivated by the ResNet50 (containing 50 layers) [25], we propose a reduced version, namely the ResNet20 (containing 20 layers) model. It is due to the ResNet50 [25] was introduced for image recognition, where a stack of 50 2-dimensional convolutional (Conv2D) layers-based deep learning technique addressed the accuracy of recognition. However, the deep architecture of a network varies over applications. We investigate and find that the reduced ResNet model, i.e., ResNet20 to be effective in estimating the noise waveform from the noisy speech waveform on a framewise basis. Instead of Conv2D layer in ResNet50 [25], the proposed ResNet20 is formed with the 1-dimensional convolution (Conv1D) layer, since the target is to process the 1D speech signal. It reduces the number of training parameters, which minimizes the training time accordingly. In addition, we have used the causal Conv1D layer [26]. Fig. 3 demonstrates the operating principle of the standard and causal Conv1D layers. The standard Conv1D layers (Fig. 3 (a)) are comprised of filters that capture the local correlation of nearby data points, thus leaking the future information into the current data during operating. Conversely, in the causal Conv1D layer (Fig. 3 (b)), the output at any time step $t$ only uses the information from the previous time steps, i.e., $0$ to $t-1$ [26]. It allows the ResNet20 for real-time noise waveform estimation.

The proposed ResNet20-based method takes the noisy speech waveform, $y_l = \{y(0,l), y(1,l), \ldots, y(M-1,l)\}$ as input, yielding an estimate of the noise waveform, $\hat{v}_l = \{\hat{v}(0,l), \hat{v}(1,l), \ldots, \hat{v}(M-1,l)\}$. Specifically, the $y_l$ is passed through the input layer, which is a fully-connected layer of size 512, followed by the layer normalization (LN) [27] and SELU activation [28] layer. Reason of using SELU activation is that it has less impact on vanishing gradients than that of ReLU [29] and ELU [30]. Also, SELUs itself learn faster and better
than ReLU and ELU even if they are combined with layer normalization [28]. The input layer is followed by 6 bottleneck residual blocks (RBs). Each RB contains 3 Conv1D layers. Each of the Conv1D layer is pre-activated by LN followed by SELU activation function. The output size of the first and second Conv1D layer is 64, while the third one is 512. In addition, the first and third Conv1D layer has the kernel size of 1, whilst the second Conv1D layer has the kernel size of 3. Therefore, the first Conv1D layer in each RB compresses the input to a lower-dimensional embedding. The last RB \((6^{th})\) is followed by the output layer, which is a fully-connected layer (output size 512) with sigmoidal units [31].

The stack of six RBs containing 18 Conv1D layers in the proposed ResNet20 exhibits a deep architecture. It is observed that the Conv1D layers in the lower RBs (close to the input layer), the gradients calculated from the backpropagated error signals of the Conv1D layers in the higher RBs, become progressively smaller or vanishing. It is referred to as the vanishing gradient problem [32]. Due to the vanishing gradients, connection weights at Conv1D layers in the lower RBs are not modified much, which reduces the learning capability during training. As long as the ResNet20 goes deeper, its performance gets saturated or even starts degrading rapidly. To alleviate this problem, a skip connection mechanism has been introduced [25]. To improve the flow of information and gradients throughout the proposed ResNet20, we also utilize skip connections between the input and output layers of the RBs. The skip connection is represented by dotted line (Fig. 2). It can be seen that the skip connection bypass the output of each RB and added to the output of the next RB. To facilitate the skip-connection, the output size of the third Conv1D layer in each RB is set to 512. The skip-connection does not add any extra parameter or computational complexity. Rather, it acts as an identity mapping of the ResNet20 model, which ensures that the Conv1D layers in the higher RBs will perform as good as the Conv1D layers in the lower RBs.

IV. SPEECH ENHANCEMENT EXPERIMENT
A. Training Set
For training the proposed ResNet20, a total of 30,000 clean speech recordings are randomly selected belonging to the train-clean-100 set of the Librispeech corpus [33] (28,539), the CSTR VCTK corpus [34] (42,015), and the \(si^*\) and \(sx^*\) training sets of the TIMIT corpus [35] (3,696). Among the 5% of 30,000, i.e., 1500 speech recordings are randomly selected for cross-validation of the ResNet20 accuracy during training. That means, 28,500 speech recordings are used for training of the ResNet20. On the other hand, a total of 500 noise recordings are randomly selected from the QUT-NOISE dataset [36], the Nonspeech dataset [37], the Environmental Background Noise dataset [38], [39], the noise set from the MUSAN corpus [40]. In addition, the 5% of 500, i.e., 25 noise recordings are selected for cross-validation purposes, while the remaining 475 of them are used for training. All the clean speech and noise recordings are single-channel with a sampling frequency of 16 kHz.

B. Training Strategy
The following training strategy was employed to train the proposed ResNet20 for noise waveform estimation:
- The widely used ‘mean square error’ is used as the loss function during training.
- The Adam algorithm [41] with default hyperparameters is also adopted for the gradient descent optimisation.
- Gradients are clipped between \([-1, 1]\).
- A total of 120 epochs are used to train the ResNet20.
- The number of training examples in an epoch is equal to the number of clean speech recordings used in the training set, i.e., 28,500.
- The noisy speech signals are generated as follows: each randomly selected clean speech recording (without replacement) is corrupted with a randomly selected noise recording (without replacement) at a randomly selected SNR level (-10 to +20 dB, in 1 dB increments).

C. Test Set
For objective experiments, 30 clean speech utterances belonging to six speakers (3 male and 3 female) are taken from the NOIZEUS corpus. The speech recordings are sampled at 16 kHz [9, Chapter 12]. We generate a noisy speech data set by corrupting the speech recordings with (passing car) and (cafe babble) noise recordings selected from the noise database used in [38], [39] at multiple SNR levels varying from -5 dB to +15 dB, in 5 dB increments. It is also important to note that the speech and noise recordings are unseen and not used in training the proposed ResNet20 method.

D. Evaluation Metrics
The objective quality and intelligibility evaluation are carried out through the perceptual evaluation of speech quality (PESQ) [42] and quasi-stationary speech transmission index (QSTI) [43] measures. We also analyze the spectrograms of the enhanced speech produced by the proposed and benchmark SEAs to quantify the level of residual noise and distortion.

The subjective evaluation was carried out through blind AB listening tests [44, Section 3.3.4]. It is conducted on the utterance sp05 (“Wipe the grease off his dirty face”) corrupted with 5 dB passing car noise. The enhanced speech produced by five SEAs as well as the corresponding clean and noisy speech recordings, a total of 42 stimuli pairs played in a random order to each listener, excluding the comparisons between the same method. For each stimulus pair, the listener prefers the first or second stimuli which is perceptually better, or a third response indicating no difference is found between them. A 100% award is given to the preferred method, 0% to the other, and 50% to each method for the similar preference response. Participants could re-listen to stimuli if required. Five English speaking listeners participate in the AB listening tests. The average of the preference scores given by the listeners, termed as the mean preference score (%).

The performance of the proposed method is carried out by comparing it with the benchmark methods, such as raw-waveform processing using FCNN (RWF-FCN) method [21],
Fig. 4. Performance comparison of the proposed SEA with the benchmark SEAs in terms of the average: PESQ; (a) passing car, (b) cafe babble and QSTI; (c) passing car, (d) cafe babble noise conditions.

Fig. 5. (a) Clean speech, (b) noisy speech (sp05 is corrupted with 5 dB passing car noise), the enhanced speech spectrograms produced by the: (c) RWF-FCN [21], (d) DNN-KF [23], (e) IAM+IFD [22], (f) proposed, and (g) AKF-Ideal methods.
E. Results and Discussion

Fig. 4 (a)-(b) demonstrates that the proposed SEA consistently shows improved PESQ score over the benchmark SEAs, except the AKF-Ideal method for all test noise conditions as well as the SNR levels. The IAM+IFD method [22] relatively exhibits better PESQ score among the benchmark methods across the noise experiments. The Noisy speech shows the worse PESQ score for all conditions.

Fig. 4 (c)-(d) also shows that the proposed method demonstrates a consistent QSTI score improvement across the noise experiments as well as the SNR levels, apart from the AKF-Ideal method. The existing IAM+IFD method [22] is found to be competitive with the proposed method typically at low SNR levels. However, at the high SNR levels, all the SEAs, even the noise corrupted speech relatively shows competitive QSTI scores for all noise conditions.

It can be seen that the enhanced speech produced by the proposed SEA (Fig. 5 (f)) exhibits significantly less residual noise than that of the benchmark SEAs (Fig. 5 (c)-(e)) and is closely similar to the AKF-Ideal method (Fig. 5 (g)). When going from RWF-FCN method [21] to IAM+IFD method [22] (Fig. 5 (c)-(e)), noise-flooring is seen decreasing. The informal listening tests conducted on the enhanced speech also confirm that the benchmark SEAs relatively produce annoying sound as compared to negligible audio artifacts by the proposed method.

The outcome of AB listening tests in terms of mean preference score (%) is shown in Fig. 6. It can be seen that the enhanced speech produced by the proposed SEA is widely preferred by the listeners (around 72%) than the benchmark methods, apart from the AKF-Ideal method (around 81%) and clean speech signal (100%). The IAM+IFD method [22] is found to be the best preferred (60%) amongst the benchmark methods, followed by the DNN-KF method [23] (48%), and RWF-FCN method [21] (31%).

V. CONCLUSION

This paper introduced a deep residual network-based augmented Kalman filter for speech enhancement in various noise conditions. Specifically, the proposed ResNet20-based method gives an estimate of the instantaneous noise waveform on a framewise basis. The noise LPCs computed from the estimated noise. Each noisy speech frame is pre-whitened by a whitening filter, which is constructed with the corresponding noise LPCs (used as filter coefficients). The speech LPCs are computed from the pre-whitened speech. The large training set of the proposed ResNet20 method enriches the speech and noise LPC estimates in various noise conditions. As a result, the AKF constructed with the improved speech and noise LPCs is capable to minimize the residual noise as well as distortion in the enhanced speech. Extensive objective and subjective testing on NOIZEUS corpus reveal that the proposed method outperforms the benchmark methods in various noise conditions for a wide range of SNR levels.